UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V.	O N 4.00 00004 BUB
	Antonio Dorado-Suarez	Case No. 1:09-cr-00304-RHB
	fter conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reference to detained pending trial.	eform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
liial liie u		Findings of Fact
(1)		d in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of
(1)		that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S which the prison term is 10 years or mo	S.C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) for ore.
	an offense for which the maximum sentence i	is death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term	of ten years or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defendant had be U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable sta	een convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but i	
	a minor victim	TIVOIVES.
	the possession or use of a firearr a failure to register under 18 U.S	n or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon .C. § 2250
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed or local offense.	while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presi- person or the community. I further find that defende	umption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another ant has not rebutted that presumption.
	Alternat	ive Findings (A)
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defenda	nt has committed an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et	
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption es defendant's appearance and the safety of the comm	tablished by finding (1) that no condition will reasonably assure the nunity.
	Alternat	ive Findings (B)
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not ap	
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endan	
		f the Reasons for Detention
evidence	a preponderance of the evidence that:	the detention hearing establishes by clear and convincing
	idant waived his detention hearing, electing not to condant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be	
		to the court's attention should his circumstances change.
	-	
	B 4 111 B1 41	B

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	October 16, 2009	Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody
		Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge